UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/649,874	08/26/2003	Masanori Katsuta	50905/DBP/N194	6387
	7590 04/17/200 RKER & HALE, LLP		EXAMINER	
PO BOX 7068		FLETCHER, MARLON T		
PASADENA, CA 91109-7068			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2837	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/17/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/649,874	KATSUTA, MASANORI
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Marlon T. Fletcher	2837
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be not will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	DN. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of the condition is in condition.	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matters, p	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-18 and 32-43 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) ☐ Claim(s) 3,4 and 8-10 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,5-7,11-16,32-35 and 38-41 is/a 7) ☐ Claim(s) 17,18,36,37,42 and 43 is/are object 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examition 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ a	rawn from consideration. re rejected. ted to. l/or election requirement. ner.	e Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct T1) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ne drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is c	tee 37 CFR 1.85(a). Objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in Applica riority documents have been recei eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ved in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/649,874 Page 2

Art Unit: 2837

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 1, 2, 5-7, 11-16, 32-35, and 38-41, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Sitrick (7,157,638).

Sitrick discloses a musical performance self-training apparatus for supporting a player by displaying a performance instruction information on a display means, comprising: a unit designating means (715) for designating a unit from the plural units (105) (column 17, lines 15-24), the units (105) constitute a music to be performed and each unit includes a predetermined size of musical tone information, and a performance instruction information generating means for generating the performance instruction information based on the musical tone information of the unit which is designated by the unit designating means (column 17, lines 25-43).

Sitrick discloses the musical performance self-training apparatus, further comprises a lesson menu generating means for generating an image information of a lesson menu which has a score of the music to be played and the units corresponding to the score, then output the image information to the display means, wherein the unit designating means designates a unit automatically, which unit corresponding to the score of the performance instruction information

to be displayed next (column 19, lines 3-6, 16-24; column 19, line 63 – column 20, line 7; and column 26, line 26 – column 27, line 41).

Sitrick discloses wherein units having the same content are managed collectively as the same unit (column 26, line 26 – column 27, line 41).

Sitrick discloses an automatic and manual mode (column 10, lines 5-19), which allows control over the progress of the lesson distribution.

Sitrick discloses a musical performance judgment apparatus for evaluating musical performance (figures 2 and 13), wherein the judgment apparatus further comprising a performance result storage means for storing information relating to performance timing as a result of musical performance (column 25, lines 14-17); a judgment means (282/figure 2; and 1320,1330/figure 13) for comparing the information relating to the performance timing with the information relating to the performance timing included in the performance instruction and detecting the difference between these; and an evaluation means (284,286/figure 2) for evaluating the performance, corresponding to the difference detected by the judgment means (column 11, lines 20-51; and column 25, lines 6-31).

Sitrick discloses the musical performance self-training apparatus, wherein a plurality of items are stored in the performance result storage means for each played note, as the information relating to the performance timing, and the evaluation means extracts the items evaluated best of the plurality of items with respect to all played notes, to evaluate the musical performance according to the mean value thereof (column 11, lines 20-43).

Sitrick discloses the musical performance self-training apparatus, wherein the information relating to the performance timing includes key-on time, key-off time, gate time and step time (column 20, lines 1-7; and column 21, lines 19-39).

Sitrick discloses the musical performance self-training apparatus, wherein the information relating to the performance timing further includes a value of ratio between the actual performance time and the performance time instructed by the performance instruction (column 11, lines 20-32).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claims 3, 4, and 8-10 are allowed.
- 4. Claims 17-18, 36, 37, 42, and 43, are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments, filed 09/17/2007, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1, 2, 5-7, and 11-12 under 35 USC 102(e) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Sitrick.

Application/Control Number: 10/649,874 Page 5

Art Unit: 2837

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marlon T. Fletcher whose telephone number is 571-272-2063.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Lincoln Donovan can be reached on 571-272-1988. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MTF

04/14/2008

/Marlon T Fletcher/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2837